

DESCRIPTION OF NATIONAL GENETIC EVALUATION SYSTEMS

Country (or countries)	United States of America
Main trait group	Female fertility [heifer conception rate (HCR), calving to first insemination (CFI), cow conception rate (CCR), daughter pregnancy rate (DPR)]
Breed(s)	AYS (RDC), BSW, GUE, HOL (B&W, R&W), JER, MSH (RDC); all breeds and crossbred cows evaluated together in a multitrait, multibreed AM for HCR, CCR, and DPR
Trait definition(s) and unit(s) of measurement	<p>HCR: Maiden heifer's ability to conceive (trait 1) defined as percentage of inseminated heifers that become pregnant at each service; an HCR of 1 implies that daughters of this bull are 1% more likely to become pregnant as a heifer than daughters of a bull with an evaluation of 0</p> <p>CFI: Lactating cow's ability to start cycling (trait 2) defined as days from calving to first insemination; estimated as a linear function of PTA for CCR and DPR instead of directly from raw data</p> <p>CCR: Lactating cow's ability to conceive (trait 3) defined as percentage of inseminated cows that become pregnant at each service; a CCR of 1 implies that daughters of this bull are 1% more likely to become pregnant during that lactation than daughters of a bull with an evaluation of 0</p> <p>DPR: Lactating cow's interval calving-conception (trait 5) defined as percentage of nonpregnant cows that become pregnant during each 21-day period; DPR of 1 implies that daughters from this bull are 1% more likely to become pregnant during that estrus cycle than a bull with an evaluation of 0; lactation DPR is calculated from DO using the nonlinear formula $DPR = 100\{21/[\max(\text{days open}, 71) - 50]\}$; cows that become pregnant in the first opportunity period have DPR = 100 and those still open in the last opportunity period have DPR = 0</p>
Method of measuring and collecting data	Collected by Dairy Herd Information Affiliates using ICAR-approved methods
Time period for data inclusion	<p>HCR: Calvings from 2003 and later</p> <p>CCR: First calvings from 2003 and later</p> <p>DPR: First calvings from 1960 and later</p>
Age groups (e.g. parities) included	<p>HCR: Only breedings for which heifer is at least 1 but <2.2 years old included</p> <p>CCR: First 5 parities included; only breedings for which cow is at least 2 years old included</p> <p>DPR: First 5 parities included</p>

Other criteria (data edits) for inclusion of records	<p>HCR: All confirmed (failure or success) breedings* up to 7 included; herd-year conception rate must be between 10 and 90%; known sire required; known ET heifers excluded</p> <p>CCR: All confirmed (failure or success) breedings* up to 7 included; herd-year must report at least 1 breeding for at least 50% of milking cows and conception rate must be between 10 and 90%; known sire required; known ET cows excluded</p> <p>DPR: Records for pregnancy rate considered complete at 250 DIM; date pregnant set to 50 DIM for cows that become pregnant before 50 DIM; some extremely early pregnancy dates obtained by calculation from date of next calving inaccurate because of short gestation lengths or unreported abortions; lower (50) and upper (250) limits affecting 5 and 14% of records, respectively, applied after adjusting DO for season effects</p>
	<p>*Service coded as failure if another reproductive event (breeding–AI or NS, heat, or diagnosis of “not pregnant”) subsequently reported or as success if validated with a pregnancy check or resulting calving date</p>
Criteria for extension of records (if applicable)	DPR: DIM \geq 130 and <250 predicted
Sire categories	All sires (AI and NS) evaluated together
Environmental effects, pre-adjustments	<p>HCR, CCR: Region-breeding month; service number; mating type</p> <p>DPR: Season adjustments based on month fresh</p>
Method (model) of genetic evaluation	HCR, CCR, DPR: Multitrait, multibreed BLUP AM; all breeds and crossbreds evaluated
Environmental effects³ in the genetic evaluation model	<p>HCR: Management group (flexible HYS-registry status) (F), heifer age at first breeding (F), PE (R); released PTA includes regression coefficient multiplied by expected future inbreeding (EFI) and coefficient of heterosis when mated to purebred as a post-processing step</p> <p>CCR: Management group (flexible HYS, includes registry status for HOL) (F), parity (F), cow age at first breeding (F), PE (R); released PTA includes regression coefficient multiplied by EFI and coefficient of heterosis when mated to purebred as a post-processing step</p> <p>DPR: Management group (flexible HYS, includes registry status for HOL) (F), parity \times age (F), regression on inbreeding (F), PE (R), herd \times sire interaction (R); released PTA includes regression coefficient multiplied by EFI and coefficient of heterosis when mated to purebred as a post-processing step</p>

Adjustment for heterogeneous variance in evaluation model	<p>HCR: Breeding average given extra weight for each observation, with weight increasing less than linearly because of PE effects using the formula $n/[1 + (n-1) \text{ repeatability}]$ for a lactation with n breedings</p> <p>CCR: Lactation average given extra weight for each observation, with weight increasing less than linearly because of PE effects using the formula $n/[1 + (n-1) \text{ repeatability}]$ for a lactation with n breedings</p> <p>DPR: Lactation average given extra weight for each opportunity period, with weight increasing less than linearly because of PE effects using the formula $n/[1+(n-1) \text{ repeatability}]$ for a lactation with n opportunity periods; number of opportunity periods is $n = \max [1, (\text{days open} - 50)/21]$</p>
Use of genetic groups and relationships	<p>HCR, CCR, DPR: Unknown parents grouped by birth year, breed, and, for HOL, separately for U.S. and foreign animals; unknown sires and dams of cows grouped separately, but unknown parents of bulls in a combined group; earliest groups combined for HCR and CCR; relationship matrix accounts for effects of inbreeding on Mendelian sampling variance</p>
Blending of foreign/Interbull information in evaluation	Not applicable
Genetic parameters in the evaluation	<p>See Appendix GE for h^2 and genetic variance estimates and “calculation of reliability” section below for use in calculation;</p> <p>HCR: PE variance, 0.025; RP, 0.12 CCR: PE variance, 0.012; RP, 0.07 DPR: PE variance, 0.012, RP, 0.13</p>
System validation	Means and SDs for all variables calculated and examined overall; means for new bulls, changes for high bulls, largest changes, and key statistics for recent AI bulls checked; genetic trends for each breed validated by methods 1 and 3
Expression of genetic evaluations	<p>PTA, % for HCR, CCR, and DPR; PTA, days for CFI</p> <p>HCR, CCR: All-breed PTAs adjusted to within-breed bases as within-breed PTA = (all-breed PTA – breed mean)</p> <p>CFI: PTA = 1.2(PTA CCR) – 2.7(PTA DPR)</p> <p>DPR: All-breed PTAs adjusted to within-breed bases as within-breed PTA = (all-breed PTA – breed mean) × (breed SD/HOL SD)</p>
Definition of genetic reference base	HCR, CCR, DPR: Cows born in 2010 (stepwise, 5 years)
Next base change	December 2019 (when base will be cows born in 2015)
Calculation of reliability	<p>HCR, CCR, DPR: Daughter equivalents from progeny, parents, and own records combined using the same methods as for yield traits</p> <p>CFI: 0.37(CCR reliability) + 0.63(DPR reliability)</p>
Criteria for official publication of evaluations	At least 10 daughters with usable fertility data

Number of evaluations/ publications per year	3 (April, August, December)
Use in total merit index⁴	DPR: 11% of total in net merit dollars (NM\$, all breeds); 10% of total in Total Performance Index (TPI, HOL)
Anticipated changes in the near future	None
Key reference on methodology applied	<p>VanRaden, P.M., A.H. Sanders, M.E. Tooker, R.H. Miller, and H.D. Norman. 2002. Daughter pregnancy rate evaluation of cow fertility. AIPL Res. Rep. DPR1(11-02).</p> <p>Kuhn, M.T., and P.M. VanRaden. 2004. Use of early lactation days open records for genetic evaluation of cow fertility. J. Dairy Sci. 87:2277–2284.</p> <p>VanRaden, P.M., A.H. Sanders, M.E. Tooker, R.H. Miller, H.D. Norman, M.T. Kuhn, and G.R. Wiggans. 2004. Development of a national genetic evaluation for cow fertility. J. Dairy Sci. 87: 2285–2292.</p> <p>Wiggans, G.R., and R.C. Goodling, Jr. 2005. Accounting for pregnancy diagnosis in predicting days open. J. Dairy Sci. 88: 1873–1877.</p> <p>Kuhn, M.T., J.L. Hutchison, and G.R. Wiggans. 2006. Characterization of Holstein heifer fertility in the United States. J. Dairy Sci. 89:4907–4920.</p> <p>VanRaden, P.M., M.E. Tooker, J.B. Cole, G.R. Wiggans, and J.H. Megonigal, Jr. 2007. Genetic evaluations for mixed-breed populations. J. Dairy Sci. 90:2434–2441.</p> <p>Kuhn, M.T., and J.L. Hutchison. 2008. Prediction of dairy bull fertility from field data: Use of multiple services and identification and utilization of factors affecting bull fertility. J. Dairy Sci. 91:2481–2492.</p> <p>Kuhn, M.T., J.L. Hutchison, and H.D. Norman. 2008. Modeling nuisance variables for prediction of service sire fertility. J. Dairy Sci. 91:2823–2835.</p> <p>VanRaden, P.M., M.E. Tooker, J.R. Wright, C. Sun, and J.L. Hutchison. 2014. Comparison of single-trait to multi-trait national evaluations for yield, health, and fertility traits. J. Dairy Sci. (in press).</p>

**Key organisation: name,
address, phone, fax, e-mail,
web site**

Evaluation calculation and distribution:

Council on Dairy Cattle Breeding
10300 Baltimore Ave.
Bldg. 005, Room 304, BARC-West
Beltsville, Maryland 20705-2350, USDA
voice: 301-525-2006; no fax
e-mail: duane.norman@cdcb.us
web site: <https://www.cdcb.us>

Evaluation methodology:

Animal Improvement Program
Animal Genomics and Improvement Laboratory
Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture
10300 Baltimore Ave.
Bldg. 005, Room 306, BARC-West
Beltsville, Maryland 20705-2350, USA
voice: 301-504-8334; fax: 301-504-8092
e-mail: aipl.inquiry@ars.usda.gov
web site: <http://aipl.arsusda.gov>
